



**FURTHER SUBMISSION BY POWERCO LIMITED ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 45
OF THE UPPER HUTT CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT PLAN**

To: Chief Executive Officer
Upper Hutt City Council
Private Bag 907
Upper Hutt
Email: planning@uhcc.govt.nz

From: Powerco Limited ("Powerco")
Private Bag 2061
New Plymouth
(Note that this is not the address for service.)

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE: **Powerco: Private Bag 2065,
New Plymouth 4340
Attention: Simon Roche
Phone: 64 06 9681779
Email: simon.roche@powerco.co.nz
Ref: SUB/2018/30/2**

Further submission on Plan Change 45 to the Upper Hutt District Plan
Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

1. Powerco's further submissions are as contained in the attached Table.
2. Powerco has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than that of the general public as we have gas infrastructure within the Plan Change area that requires signage.
3. Powerco could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.
4. If others make a similar submission, Powerco may be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.
5. Powerco **does wish to be heard** in support of this submission.

Dated at New Plymouth this 17th day of October 2018



Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Powerco Limited:

Table 1 – Further Submission by Powerco Limited

Submission reference and submitter	Submitter details	Summary of submission/relief sought by the submitter	Support or oppose the submission	Reasons for support or opposition	Decision sought
1	Woolworths	<p>The submitter supports objective 8A.3.2 and policy 8A.3.3 as appropriate from a resource management perspective.</p> <p>The submitter supports the Permitted Activity Status Table of rule 8.3.4.</p>	Support in part	<p>Powerco sought changes to objective 8A.3.2.1(a) as per our original submission to include network utility operators.</p> <p>Powerco also supports rule 8.4.3 which provides for health and safety signage as a permitted activity.</p>	Accept in part and include the amendments requested in Powerco's submission
2	Allison Tindale	The submitter supports objective 8A.3.2.1 in that it refers to key issues for signage.	Support in part	Powerco sought changes to objective 8A.3.2.1(a) as per our original submission to include network utility operators.	Accept in part and include the amendments requested in Powerco's submission
3.2	Z Energy Limited	The submitter supports objective 8A.3.2.1, finding that it recognises the	Support in part	Powerco sought changes to objective 8A.3.2.1(a) as per our	Accept in part and include the

	BP Oil NZ Limited Mobil Oil NZ Limited (The Oil Companies)	potential adverse effects of signage on amenity values and the safety and efficiency of the land transport network, whilst appropriately recognising the benefits signage provides to communities and businesses.		original submission to include network utility operators.	amendments requested in Powerco's submission
3.3	Z Energy Limited BP Oil NZ Limited Mobil Oil NZ Limited (The Oil Companies)	The submitter supports rule 8A.3.4.1 which provides for health and safety signage, without associated performance standards, as a permitted activity. The submitter supports the rule to be retained without modification.	Support	Powerco also supports Health and Safety signs as a permitted activity and supports retaining rule 8A.3.4.1 as drafted to be retained without modification.	Accept
3.8	Z Energy Limited BP Oil NZ Limited Mobil Oil NZ Limited (The Oil Companies)	This submitter suggests a definition of "Health and Safety Sign" is needed to include any signs required by legislation and suggests a definition as follows: <i>Any sign necessary to meet other legislative requirements (e.g. HSNO/Worksafe).</i> "	Support in part	Powerco considers the intent of this submission was to delete this rule as it is covered by rule 8A.3.4.1 not rule 8.3.4(b). Powerco supports having a definition for health and safety	Accept in part

				<p>signage, as outlined our original submission. Powerco does not oppose these submitters alternative wording of the definition. If the council feels it is more appropriate. Powerco seeks a definition is provided for health and safety signage and is supportive of either definition being used.</p>	
6	NZ Transport Agency	<p>The submitter opposes Rule 8A.3.4.1, for all health and safety signs to be permitted. They are concerned poorly designed and located health and safety signs, visible from State Highway 2, or any other local road could distract of confuse road users, including cyclist and pedestrians. The submitter requests amendments the rule to ensure all health and safety signage visible from State Highway 2 be permitted only where the sign complies with permitted</p>	Oppose	<p>Powerco supports Rule 8A.3.4.1, for all health and safety signs to be permitted, as outlined in our original submission as we have a strategic gas pipe in close proximity to State Highway 2.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, Powerco's original submission included graphics showing our largest discreet gas signage is 0.6m². These are located around our</p>	Reject

		performance standards 8A.3.4.8 to 8A.3.4.13.		above and below ground assets to alert the public of their presence and are required by other legislation. Powerco may also not be able to meet the permitted standards under 8A.3.4.9 to 8A.3.4.11 around signs. This includes frees standing and those on the side of buildings in the residential, business, open space and industrial zones. Therefore, given the above factors and that our strategic line is close to highway 2 we oppose this submission.	
--	--	--	--	--	--